# An Ultrawideband UAV-Based Metrology Platform for In-situ EM Testing of Antennas, Radars, and Communication Systems

Jorge L. Salazar-Cerreno<sup>(D)</sup>, Syed S. Jehangir<sup>(D)</sup>, Antony Segales, Nafati Aboserwal<sup>(D)</sup>, and Zeeshan Qamar<sup>(D)</sup> Advanced Radar Research Center (ARRC) & School of Electrical and Computer Engineering (ECE) The University of Oklahoma, Norman Oklahoma, USA

*Abstract*— In this paper, an ultrawideband in-situ metrology UAV system to characterize antennas in the far-field region is presented. The proposed system uses a customized antenna probe designed to operate from 2.5 GHz to 32 GHz. In comparison with previous works, this system has a new probe with a unique design to obtain better match in the co-polar beam patterns and high cross-polarization isolation, ideal for testing dual-polarized radar and communication systems. The UAV test system is developed taking into account the electromagnetic interaction between the UAV and RF system. High position accuracy, flight stability, and excellent dual-polarization performance are key features in this new system. Design trade-offs of the proposed system for various applications, and its preliminary results, indicate this new concept is suitable for in-situ antenna measurements of radar and communication systems.

*Index Terms*—Antenna, array, calibration, metrology, far-field, phased array radar, radome, reflections, wet radome, SATCOM, 5G, UAV, UAS,

## I. INTRODUCTION

Aperture and antenna arrays used for radar and communication systems are commonly characterized and tested in a controlled environment such as outdoor or indoor antenna test ranges. In most of the cases, the antenna under test (AUT) is characterized alone without other subsystems such as front-end, radome, pedestal, etc. When the antenna aperture is integrated with other radar or communication sub-systems and put it in operation, antenna performance is not the same as tested in a controlled environment. Antenna performance degradation due to electromagnetic interference (EMI) between an antenna and a sub-system can be worse if the system is operating under adverse environmental conditions. Rain, ice, snow, dirt, pollution, temperature, ground reflection, clutter can impact gain, polarization, side-lobes, and main beam direction. The need for in-situ characterization of the antenna for a radar or communication system that is operating in its real environment, is required to guarantee acceptable performance of the system in real operational environment. [1], [2].

Radar and communication systems use a small portion of operational commercial frequency bands (<10% fractional bandwidth). However, depending on the application, this can

J. Salazar-Cerreno is with the Phased Array Antenna Research and Development (PAARD) group, the Advanced Radar Research Center (ARRC), and the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, The University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, 73019 USA. Website: http://www.ou-arrc-paard.com Manuscript received January 23, 2022



Fig. 1: Picture of the ultrawideband (2.5 GHz to 32 GHz) metrology UAV-based platform for in-situ testing of radars and communication systems during test in the OU indoor far-field chamber.

be anywhere in the frequency spectrum from 1 GHz to 90 GHz. Some examples in the radars bands in the U.S include the air traffic control (ATC) and DOD early warning defense uses from 1.215 GHz to 1.390 GHz, maritime and weather radar uses 2.7 GHz to 3.1 GHz. DOD surveillance radar uses from 3.1 GHz to 3.6 GHz. NOAA weather radar, FAA TDWR uses 5.5 GHz to 5.9 GHz. Airborne radars operate in five different bands (8.5 GHz to 10.5 GHz, 13.25 GHz to 14.2 GHz, 15.4 GHz to 17.3 GHz, 24.05 GHz to 24.65 GHz, 31.8 GHz to 36 GHz). Airborne fire control, beacons, cloud radars, and synthetic vision radar operates from 92 GHz to 100 GHz [3].

In the case of weather radars, the most common radars operate below 10 GHz. In most of the cases, weather radars use dual-polarization capabilities, that enable hydrometer classification. The need of high performance dual-polarized antennas with co-polar pattern mismatch below  $\pm$  0.1 dB and cross-polarization level lower than -40 dB is critical for this mission. To achieve such performance over  $\pm$ 45 degrees field of view,

it is quite challenge using phased array antennas, specially when the radar is deployed in the field [4]–[7]. The field of RF measurement and characterization using UAVs, ranging from micro and small to medium and large frames, has seen a fastpaced evolution in the past decade, in virtue of the increased availability of commercial off-the-shelf flight solution suites with high degree of precision and performance at lower costs [8]–[28].

The predecessors to this work have explored the feasibility of performing accurate UAV-based far-field antenna measurements through simulations and by establishing design guidelines to mitigate many error sources [29], and studying the effects of coupling between the UAV structure and the probe antenna through EM simulations and indoor anechoic chamber characterizations [30] for the selection of the best type of probe antenna that meets the mission requirements [4].

This work proposes a UAV platform integrated with a single source probe antenna to enable full characterization and calibration of communication system and radar systems that operate in a range of frequency between 2.5 GHz to 32 GHz. The new probe has unique features that enable very similar electromagnetic performance in the whole frequency range. This paper is organized in five sections. Section II, describes the proposed system. Section III discusses the main design trade-offs including platform dimensions, endurance, payload, induced platform scattering and performance. The section IV presents preliminary simulated and measured results. Finally, Section V summarizes and highlights the most remarkable findings and impact of these results.

### **II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION**

The proposed metrology system, shown in Fig. 1, was developed to provide an UAV platform with a single frontend equipment to perform in-situ antenna patterns, calibration of communication, and radar systems that operate in the frequency range from 2.5 GHz to 32 GHz. The system is mainly composed of three subsystems. The UAV platform, the gimbal, and the front-end subsystem which is composed of an ultrawideband dual-polarized antenna probe interconnected with a CW transmitter source. The summary of the system specifications is listed in Table I.

1) UAV platform: The UAV platform is the hexacopter DJI Matrice 600P that provides a maximum flight time from 20 min to 60 min with three sets of interchangeable batteries. This platform provides excellent stability for a maximum payload of 2 kg. The system was tested with a wind load up to 20 mph providing excellent test results. Real-time kinematic differential GPS (RTK D-GPS) is integrated with the UAV platform, and it provides position accuracy lower than 2 cm.

2) Gimbal: The DJI Ronin-MX gimbal, which is used to carry the antenna probe, is very stable and flexible for accurate position alignment between the AUT and the probe. Independent IMU's make this gimbal to be accurate. This gimbal can be controlled automatically and manually or by point-of-interest (POI) through the mission planner application, with an accuracy of  $0.02^{\circ}$ .

TABLE I: System specifications.

Category	Specifications	Value		
UAV	Model/Maker	Matrice 600P/DJI		
Platform	Dimensions	1.66 m x 1.52 m x 0.727 m		
	Weight (no payload)	10 kg		
	Max. takeoff weight	15.5 kg		
	Position accuracy	GPS: $\pm 5.5$ m to $\pm 0.5$ m		
	Position accuracy	RTK: $\pm 1$ cm to $\pm 2.0$ cm		
	Max. angular velocity	Pitch: 300°/s, Yaw: 100°/s		
	Max. pitch angle	25°/s		
	Max. ascent speed	5 m/s		
	Max. descent speed	3 m/s		
	Max. serv. ceiling	2500 m		
	Hovering time	20 min (up 1 hr with 3 sets of batt.)		
	Operating temp.	$-10^{\circ}$ C to $40^{\circ}$ C		
Gimbal	Model/Maker	Ronin-MX/DJI		
	Operation modes	Free, Follow, FPV		
	Dimensions	28 cm x 34 cm		
	MIU	Independent		
	Connectivity	Bluetooth and USB connections		
	Operation. Freq.	2.4 GHz		
	Running time	3 hrs		
	DC voltage	12 VDC		
	Operating temp.	$-15^{\circ}C$ to $50^{\circ}C$		
Antenna	Model/Maker	UWP232/PAARD-OU		
Probe	Frequency	2.5 GHz-32 GHz		
	Beamwidth	100°-20° (E-, H-planes)		
	Gain	6 dB-17 dB		
	Return loss (RL)	12 dB @ (2.5 GHz-32 GHz)		
	Dimensions	10 cm x 4 cm x 4 cm		
	Weight	< 1.5 lb		
Transmitter	Model/Maker	Windfreak technologies		
	Freq. operation	10 MHz to 32 GHz		
	Tx. power	20 dBm (CW)		
	Dynamic range	50 dB		
	Amplitude resol.	0.01 dB		
	Phase resol.	0.01°		
	Dimensions	4 cm x 4 cm x 2 cm		
	DC voltage	12 VDC		
	Weight	< 0.1 lb		

3) Transmitter: On the gimbal, a CW transmitter source and antenna are used for AUT test in receive mode. The transmitter is a two-channel synthesizer that generates signals from 10 MHz to 14 GHz. An active multiplier is used to expand the frequency up to 34 GHz with an output power up to 30 dBm. High dynamic range and amplitude (0.001 dB), and phase resolution ( $0.01^{\circ}$ ), make this transmitter an ideal candidate for this UAV metrology concept.

4) Antenna probe: In the proposed system, the used antenna is designed to perform symmetric radiation patterns in the E- and H-planes with a beamwidth between 100° for the lowest frequency (2.5 GHz) and 20° for the highest frequency (32 GHz). The proposed antenna used as a probe is a lens corrected quad-ridged conical corrugated antenna. This antenna was designed for high compactness and low weight, ideally to be carried in the Matrice 600P and Robin MX/DJI gimbal. Half-power beamwidth (HPBW) below 40° is ideal to minimize degradation of the cross-polarization isolation and ripples in the co-polar patterns produced by induced electromagnetic scattering in the UAV platform. Fig. 2 shows the geometry models of the proposed and commercial probes, realized gain, and E-plane and H-plane half power beamwidth (HPBW) comparison. Table II, summaries the overall performance of the proposed antenna in comparison with the commercial Quad-ridged antenna.



Fig. 2: Illustration of the antenna probes, and performance comparison of the proposed and commercially available ridged horn antennas [31], [32] (a) side views of the ultrawideband antenna probes (b) realized gain versus frequency (c) HPBW versus frequency in the E-plane (d) HPBW versus frequency in the H-plane.

TABLE II: Performance summary of the proposed and commercially available ridged antennas.

	Freq. (GHz)	HPB	Gain (dB)	RL (dB)	Weight (lb)		
Probe Type	$(f_{min}/f_{max})$	E-plane $(f_{min}/f_{max})$	H-plane $(f_{min}/f_{max})$	$(f_{min}/f_{max})$		(x-y-z)	
Dual Ridged	2/32	110/22	142/18	3/16.5	< -10	4.3 x 4.13 x 4.13	1.1
Quad Ridged	2/32	110/23	165/23.5	2.2/16	< -10	4.3 x 4.13 x 4.13	0.53
Proposed	2.5/32	60/29	100/29	6/17	< -12	4.3 x 4.3 x 4.4	1.5

# **III. DESIGN TRADE-OFFS**

Accurate in-situ antenna pattern characterizations using drones are very popular nowadays. In most of the cases, drones are customized for each application that typically operates for small frequency ranges (< 10% fractional bandwidth) to obtain accurate antenna patterns of a deployed systems. The main disadvantage of using narrow band probes for a particular application is the dedication of a UAV platform and probe for a specific mission. Assigning a UAV platform for a single task is not cost effective. In the other hand, reusing the same UAV platform for other bands requires tedious characterization and RF calibration of the probe with UAV platform to guarantee good antenna test during flight. Ideally, a single probe mounted on UAV that operates in a wide frequency bandwidth is desirable. One of the biggest limitations of using a ultrawideband antenna as a probe is the antenna beamwidth variability with frequency. Commercial antennas with broadband characteristics, such as single or double ridged horn antennas may satisfy the bandwidth requirements. However, RF performance is not ideal for UAV test measurements. The half-power beamwidth changes from 160° to 40° over a frequency range from 1 GHz to 30 GHz and cross-polarization isolation of -25 dB (without the drone) is degraded due to induced electromagnetic scattering from the

TABLE III: Performance comparison between proposed and comercial probe with UAV-platform.

		Vertical polarization (V-pol)			Horizontal polarization (H-pol)				
Probe type	Parameter	3 GHz	10 GHz	20 GHz	30 GHz	3 GHz	10 GHz	20 GHz	30 GHz
	HPBW (E-plane)	68°	52°	38°	22°	68°	52°	38°	22°
	HPBW (H-plane)	135°	55°	38°	$22^{\circ}$	135°	55°	38°	22°
	X-pol (E-plane) <sup>1</sup>	-25 dB	-25 dB	-23 dB	-22 dB	-25 dB	-25 dB	-23 dB	-22 dB
	X-pol (H-plane) <sup>1</sup>	-27 dB	-28 dB	-28 dB	-27 dB	-27 dB	-28 dB	-28 dB	-27 dB
Quad ridged	ICoR (E-plane) <sup>2</sup>	±1.2 dB	$\pm 0.47$ dB	$\pm 0.45 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$	±1.13 dB	$\pm 0.48$ dB	$\pm 0.25 \text{ dB}$	$\pm$ 0.25 dB
	ICoR (H-plane) <sup>2</sup>	±1.25 dB	$\pm 0.5 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.33 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.13 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 1.5 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.65 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.34$ dB	$\pm 0.22 \text{ dB}$
	IXp (E-plane) <sup>3</sup>	+6 dB	+7 dB	+4 dB	+2 dB	+7 dB	+3 dB	+5 dB	+5 dB
	IXp (H-plane) <sup>3</sup>	+11 dB	+13 dB	+4 dB	+6 dB	+5 dB	+6 dB	+3 dB	+2 dB
	HPBW (E-plane)	60°	32°	30°	29°	60°	32°	30°	29°
	HPBW (H-plane)	100°	38°	31°	29°	100°	38°	31°	29°
	X-pol (E-plane) <sup>1</sup>	-53 dB	-50 dB	-52 dB	-40 dB	-53 dB	-50 dB	-52 dB	-38 dB
	X-pol (H-plane) <sup>1</sup>	-50 dB	-49 dB	-45 dB	-40 dB	-50 dB	-49 dB	-45 dB	-40 dB
Proposed	ICoR (E-plane) <sup>2</sup>	±0.16 dB	$\pm 0.35 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.46$ dB	$\pm 0.55 \text{ dB}$	$\pm .1 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.16 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.34$ dB
	ICoR (H-plane) <sup>2</sup>	±0.03 dB	$\pm 0.22 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.42 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.45 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.07 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.25 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.08 \text{ dB}$	$\pm 0.15 \text{ dB}$
	IXp (E-plane) <sup>3</sup>	+10 dB	+11 dB	+12 dB	+14 dB	+5 dB	+8 dB	+9 dB	+13 dB
	IXp (H-plane) <sup>3</sup>	+10 dB	+11 dB	+12 dB	+14 dB	+5 dB	+8 dB	+9 dB	+13 dB

X-pol represents the maximum cross-polarization isolation in the HPBW across the frequency range.
ICoR represents the maximum degradation in the co-polarization of the antenna mounted on the UAV in the HPBW across the frequency range

<sup>3</sup> Ky represents the maximum degradation in the corporatization or the amenia mounce of one CAV in the FIPBW above 50-dBXP level, across the frequency range



Fig. 3: Representation of the maximum induced ripples in the co-polar patterns (ICoR) and maximum induced cross-polarization (IXp) due to the UAV platform.

UAV platform, specially when the lower frequency is used. For lower frequencies than 3 GHz, UAV platform with larger payload is required to carry larger probes. This limitation in the payload will compromise flight endurance, probe alignment, and flight stability. In this paper, a lens corrected quad-ridged conical corrugated antenna is designed for high compactness and low weight, ideally to be carried in the Matrice 600 and Robin MX/DJI gimbal. Table II and III, summarize the overall performance of the proposed antenna in comparison with commercial Quad-ridged antenna.

#### **IV. PRELIMINARY RESULTS**

Numerical simulations of the proposed antenna with and without the UAV platform (Matrice 600) and gimbal were performed using Ansys HFSS. The HFSS integral equation (HFSS-IE) solver that uses the method of moments (MoM)

was used to solve for the sources or currents on the surfaces of conducting and dielectric parts of the drone. HFSS-IE with adaptive refinement meshing is effective for radiation and scattering studies of large conducting structures. Table III, summarizes the overall performance of the proposed probe fully characterized with UAV platform. The maximum induced ripples in the co-polar patterns (ICoR) and maximum induced cross-polarization (IXp) due to the UAV platform as shown in Fig. 3 were calculated in E-plane and H-plane for each polarization in both antennas. Comparison between proposed and commercial probe with UAV-platform shows significant improvement of UAV metrology system. Lower ripples in the co-polar patterns lower is the contamination in the crosspolarization patterns. This is mainly attributed to the controlled beamwidth and lower cross-polarization performance of the proposed antenna. Due to the improved radiation characterization and performance of the proposed antenna, the induced degradation in the cross-polarization levels is smaller than that in the case of the commercial antennas. The proposed probe presents better performance compared to the commercial antenna across the operating bandwidth.

## V. CONCLUSION

A new antenna probe was designed and fully characterized with a UAV platform. This novel antenna enables a unique in-situ UAV metrology system that allows multiple missions using the same antenna probe and platform in a frequency range from 2.5 GHz to 32 GHz without the need of using different probes and re-calibration of the metrology system. Excellent probe performance in terms of co-polar patterns and high cross-polarization isolation are obtained. The proposed system uses a stable and mature UAV technology with a state-of-the-art RTK-DGPS with precise gimbal, which makes an excellent platform candidate for in-situ far-field antenna measurements of antenna used in communication and radar systems.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankful to the Advanced Radar Research Center (ARRC) of The University of Oklahoma for providing the facilities needed to perform this research. They would also like to thank the Phased Array Antenna Research and Development group (PAARD) members for the discussions and positive feedback. Special thanks to the undergraduate students Elizabeth Joyce and Khuda Burdi for helping in the system integration and design of the new SDK application for this new UAV system. This material is based upon research supported by, or in part by, the U. S. Office of Naval Research under award number N00014-18-1-2896 and N00014-19-1-2326. We also appreciate the initial partial funding provided by NOAA/Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research under NOAA-University of Oklahoma Cooperative Agreement NA110AR4320072, U.S. Department of Commerce.

## REFERENCES

- E. E. A. S. Committee, *IEEE standard test procedures for antennas*. Institute of Electrical & Electronics Engineers (IEEE), 1979, vol. 149, no. 1979.
- [2] J. S. Hollis, T. Lyon, and L. Clayton, *Microwave antenna measurements*. Scientific-Atlanta, 1970.
- [3] W. M. Daley, "Federal radar spectrum requirements," U.S. Department of Commerce, 2000.
- [4] C. Fulton, J. Salazar, D. Zrnic, D. Mirkovic, I. Ivic, and D. Doviak, "Polarimetric phased array calibration for large-scale multi-mission radar applications," in 2018 IEEE Radar Conference (RadarConf18). IEEE, 2018, pp. 1272–1277.
- [5] I. R. Ivić, "An approach to simulate the effects of antenna patterns on polarimetric variable estimates," *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, vol. 34, no. 9, pp. 1907–1934, 2017.
- [6] J. D. Díaz, J. L. Salazar-Cerreno, J. A. Ortiz, N. A. Aboserwal, R. M. Lebrón, C. Fulton, and R. D. Palmer, "A cross-stacked radiating antenna with enhanced scanning performance for digital beamforming multifunction phased-array radars," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 5258–5267, 2018.
- [7] R. M. Lebrón, P.-S. Tsai, J. M. Emmett, C. Fulton, and J. L. Salazar-Cerreno, "Validation and testing of initial and in-situ mutual couplingbased calibration of a dual-polarized active phased array antenna," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 78315–78329, 2020.
- [8] G. Virone, A. M. Lingua, M. Piras, A. Cina, F. Perini, J. Monari, F. Paonessa, O. A. Peverini, G. Addamo, and R. Tascone, "Antenna pattern verification system based on a micro unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV)," *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, vol. 13, pp. 169–172, 2014.
- [9] G. Virone, F. Paonessa, O. A. Peverini, G. Addamo, R. Orta, R. Tascone, A. Lingua, M. Piras, P. Bolli, G. Pupillo *et al.*, "Antenna pattern measurement with UAVs: Modeling of the test source," in 2016 10th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP). IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–3.
- [10] F. Paonessa, G. Virone, P. Bolli, G. Pupillo, J. Monari, F. Perini, A. Mattana, G. Naldi, M. Poloni, M. Schiaffino *et al.*, "The UAV-based test source as an end-to-end verification tool for aperture arrays," in 2016 *International Conference on Electromagnetics in Advanced Applications* (ICEAA). IEEE, 2016, pp. 886–889.
- [11] F. Paonessa, G. Virone, E. Capello, G. Addamo, O. A. Peverini, R. Tascone, P. Bolli, G. Pupillo, J. Monari, M. Schiaffino *et al.*, "VHF/UHF antenna pattern measurement with unmanned aerial vehicles," in 2016 *IEEE Metrology for Aerospace (MetroAeroSpace)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 87–91.
- [12] F. Paonessa, G. Virone, I. Aicardi, A. Lingua, M. Piras, P. Maschio, P. Bolli, G. Addamo, O. Peverini, R. Orta *et al.*, "Recent results in antenna pattern measurement with UAVs," in 2015 International Conference on Electromagnetics in Advanced Applications (ICEAA). IEEE, 2015, pp. 720–721.
- [13] G. Virone, F. Paonessa, E. Capello, O. Peverini, G. Addamo, R. Tascone, R. Orta, M. Orefice, A. Lingua, M. Piras et al., "UAV-based antenna and field measurements," in 2016 IEEE Conference on Antenna Measurements & Applications (CAMA). IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–3.

- [14] G. Virone, F. Paonessa, O. Peverini, G. Addamo, R. Orta, R. Tascone, and P. Bolli, "Antenna pattern measurements with a flying far-field source (hexacopter)," in 2014 IEEE Conference on Antenna Measurements & Applications (CAMA). IEEE, 2014, pp. 1–2.
- [15] G. Virone, F. Paonessa, A. Tibaldi, Z. Farooqui, G. Addamo, O. A. Peverini, R. Tascone, P. Bolli, A. Mattana, J. Monari *et al.*, "UAVbased radiation pattern verification for a small low-frequency array," in 2014 IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society International Symposium (APSURSI). IEEE, 2014, pp. 995–996.
- [16] F. Paonessa, G. Virone, G. Addamo, O. A. Peverini, R. Tascone, E. de Lera Acedo, E. Colín-Beltrán, N. Razavi-Ghods, P. Bolli, G. Pupillo et al., "UAV-based pattern measurement of the SKALA," in 2015 IEEE International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation & USNC/URSI National Radio Science Meeting. IEEE, 2015, pp. 1372– 1373.
- [17] F. Üstüner, E. Aydemir, E. Güleç, M. İlarslan, M. Çelebi, and E. Demirel, "Antenna radiation pattern measurement using an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV)," in 2014 XXXIth URSI General Assembly and Scientific Symposium (URSI GASS). IEEE, 2014, pp. 1–4.
- [18] A. M. Picar, C. Marqué, M. Anciaux, H. Lamy, and S. Ranvier, "Antenna pattern calibration of radio telescopes using an UAV-based device," in 2015 International Conference on Electromagnetics in Advanced Applications (ICEAA). IEEE, 2015, pp. 981–984.
- [19] S. Duthoit, J. L. Salazar, W. Doyle, A. Segales, B. Wolf, C. Fulton, and P. Chilson, "A new approach for in-situ antenna characterization, radome inspection and radar calibration, using an unmanned aircraft system (UAS)," in 2017 IEEE Radar Conference (RadarConf). IEEE, 2017, pp. 0669–0674.
- [20] M. García-Fernández, Y. Á. López, A. Arboleya, B. González-Valdés, Y. Rodríguez-Vaqueiro, M. E. D. C. Gómez, and F. L.-H. Andrés, "Antenna diagnostics and characterization using unmanned aerial vehicles," *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 23 563–23 575, 2017.
- [21] G. Pupillo, G. Naldi, G. Bianchi, A. Mattana, J. Monari, F. Perini, M. Poloni, M. Schiaffino, P. Bolli, A. Lingua *et al.*, "Medicina array demonstrator: calibration and radiation pattern characterization using a UAV-mounted radio-frequency source," *Experimental Astronomy*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 405–421, 2015.
- [22] J. L. Salazar, A. Umeyama, S. Duthoit, and C. Fulton, "UAS-based antenna pattern measurements and radar characterization," in 2018 IEEE Conference on Antenna Measurements & Applications (CAMA). IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–4.
- [23] P. Bolli, G. Pupillo, F. Paonessa, G. Virone, S. J. Wijnholds, and A. M. Lingua, "Near-field experimental verification of the EM models for the LOFAR radio telescope," *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 613–616, 2018.
- [24] E. De Lera Acedo, P. Bolli, F. Paonessa, G. Virone, E. Colin-Beltran, N. Razavi-Ghods, I. Aicardi, A. Lingua, P. Maschio, J. Monari *et al.*, "SKA aperture array verification system: electromagnetic modeling and beam pattern measurements using a micro UAV," *Experimental Astronomy*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 1–20, 2018.
- [25] M. G. Fernandez, Y. A. Lopez, and F. L.-H. Andres, "On the use of unmanned aerial vehicles for antenna and coverage diagnostics in mobile networks," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 72–78, 2018.
- [26] A. Y. Umeyama, J. L. Salazar-Cerreño, B. M. Wolf, and C. J. Fulton, "Recent development in UAV-based antenna pattern characterization for weather radars," in 2019 IEEE Conference on Antenna Measurements & Applications (CAMA). IEEE, 2019, pp. 199–202.
- [27] M. Garcia-Fernandez, Y. A. Lopez, and F. L.-H. Andres, "Unmanned aerial system for antenna measurement and diagnosis: evaluation and testing," *IET Microwaves, Antennas & Propagation*, vol. 13, no. 13, pp. 2224–2231, 2019.
- [28] M. García Fernández, Y. Álvarez López, and F. Las-Heras, "Dual-probe near-field phaseless antenna measurement system on board a UAV," *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 21, p. 4663, 2019.
- [29] A. Y. Umeyama, J. L. Salazar-Cerreno, and C. J. Fulton, "UAV-based far-field antenna pattern measurement method for polarimetric weather radars: Simulation and error analysis," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 191 124– 191 137, 2020.
- [30] A. Y. Umeyama, J. L. Salazar-Cerreno, and C. Fulton, "UAV-based antenna measurements for polarimetric weather radars: Probe analysis," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 191 862–191 874, 2020.
- [31] MVG, "2-32 Ghz dual ridge horn satimo test report," 2011.
- [32] MVG, "2–32 Ghz open boundary wideband quad ridge horn satimo test report," 2011.